UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,422	10/22/2007	John L. Telford	002441.00189	9353
27476 7590 09/21/2010 NOVARTIS VACCINES AND DIAGNOSTICS INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY- X100B			EXAMINER	
			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
P.O. BOX 8097 Emeryville, CA 94662-8097			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/568,422	TELFORD ET AL.		
Lxammer-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645		
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) <u>S. Devi, Ph.D.</u> .	(3)			
(2) Attorney Lisa Hemmindinger.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 20 September 2010	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicant's amendment	·			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:				
See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney Hemmindinger was informed that Applicant's amendment filed 08/06/2010 is non-compliant under 37 CFR 1.121 in that the status identifier for claim 20 is incorrect. It was conveyed that although claim 20 is indicated as 'withdrawn-currently amended', the supposed amendments are not indicated by markings such as underlining and/or strikethrough. It was also conveyed that this claim 20 is very different from the previous version of claim 20 filed 04/05/2010. To avoid mailing out of a Notice of Non-compliant Amendment, it was requested that Applicants file a supplemental amendment correcting the non-compliance issue.

/S. Devi/ Primary Examiner AU 1645

09-20-2010